

HOLLY L. WOLCOTT
CITY CLERK

PETTY F. SANTOS
EXECUTIVE OFFICER

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA



ERIC GARCETTI
MAYOR

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK
ELECTION DIVISION

SPACE 300
555 RAMIREZ STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
(213) 978-0444
FAX: (213) 978-0376

JINNY PAK
DIVISION MANAGER

clerk.lacity.org

August 12, 2022

The Los Angeles City Council
City Hall, Los Angeles, California

REGARDING: ASSESSMENT BALLOT PROCEEDING FOR
TARZANA DRIVE AND MECCA AVENUE LIGHTING DISTRICT
COUNCIL FILE NUMBER 22-0900-S39

Honorable Councilmembers:

In accordance with Proposition 218, the City of Los Angeles provided notice to the property owners of the parcels in the proposed Tarzana Drive and Mecca Avenue Lighting District, as specified in the subject Council File. Along with that notice, each property owner received an assessment ballot weighted by the amount of the parcel's proposed assessment. I have tabulated the assessment ballots delivered to my office by the final hearing date of August 9, 2022.

The proposed assessment district failed because it received a weighted majority of "no" ballots as defined in Article XIII D (Proposition 218) of the State Constitution. As a result, the City may not impose an assessment for the improvement and maintenance of lighting in the proposed district. The certified results of the tabulations are attached. I have also forwarded a copy of these results to the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Lighting, for their information.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR COUNCIL ACTION:

In accordance with Council policy adopted on December 19, 1997 (CF 97-0843) and the report of the Board of Public Works adopted by the Council on May 9, 1998:

1. FIND that a majority protest exists in the Street Lighting Maintenance Assessment District and therefore the proposed assessment cannot be enacted.
2. ADOPT the abandoning Ordinance attached to the Council File.
3. INSTRUCT the Director, Bureau of Street Lighting, to assure that the streetlights are not installed or are removed from service if previously installed, in accordance with Council policy.

Sincerely,

For
HOLLY L. WOLCOTT
City Clerk

Attachments

cc: Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Lighting

AN EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY – AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
) SS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I, HOLLY L. WOLCOTT, City Clerk of the City of Los Angeles, hereby certify to the City Council that two ballots were submitted on or before August 9, 2022, in connection with the assessment ballot proceeding for the proposed Tarzana Drive and Mecca Avenue Lighting Maintenance Assessment District, as specified in Council File Number 22-0900-S39. I further certify the attached report to be a true, correct and complete tabulation of the returns of that assessment ballot proceeding.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal this 12th day of August 2022.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H. Wolcott', with a stylized flourish at the end.

For
HOLLY L. WOLCOTT
City Clerk

**Proposition 218 Assessment Ballot Tabulation for
Tarzana Drive and Mecca Avenue Lighting District**

Final Public Hearing August 9, 2022

**Election # 5341
Council File # 22-0900-S39**

Ballot Tally

Assmnt No.	County APN	Owner	Assessment Weight	Ballot Vote Y/N	Weighted Vote Yes	Weighted Vote No	No Vote/ Challenged
1	2184-059-003	JARED AND WENDY GOETZ	\$45.76	N		\$ 45.76	
2	2184-059-004	MANHATTAN HOLDING COMPANY C/O BARBARA ODOM	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
3	2184-059-005	NOAH AND MARISSA STREIT TRUST, ET AL	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
4	2184-059-006	PEJMAN BEHBOUDIKHA	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
5	2184-059-007	AVEDIS A PAPAIZIAN	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
6	2184-059-008	JAY B AND KATHLEEN NEWMAN TRUST, ET AL	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
7	2184-059-009	RON CUTLER CO TRUST, ET AL	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
8	2184-059-010	LILLYAM SAUTO	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
9	2184-059-011	DIANNE H RUTHMAN TRUST, ET AL	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
10	2184-059-012	THOMAS AND JUDITH ZIMMER TRUST, ET AL	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
11	2184-059-013	PEGAH FARIVAR AND KEVIN KOHAN	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
12	2184-059-014	PIERRE WILDMAN CO TRUST, ET AL	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
13	2184-059-015	SCOTT LIEBERMAN CO TRUST, ET AL	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
14	2184-059-016	DAVID L AND MARIAN M SANDERS	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
15	2184-059-017	MVRE LLC TRUST, ET AL	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
16	2184-059-018	PAC COAST FINANCIAL SERVICES LLC	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
17	2184-059-019	EDWARD GORELICK CO TRUST, ET AL	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
18	2184-059-020	SCOTT AND SARA ZIMBLER AND GRANT NEMIROW	\$45.76	N		\$ 45.76	
19	2184-059-021	BRUCE M AND WENDY SMILEY TRUST, ET AL	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
20	2184-059-022	JULIO P AND ELENARDEE Y VITUG	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
21	2184-059-023	CLYDE AND NICOLE ISAACSOM TRUST, ET AL	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
22	2184-059-024	RICHARD E AND ASEMANEH ODELL	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
23	2184-059-025	MICHAEL H KELSON TRUST, ET AL	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
24	2184-059-026	MORRIS AND TAMAR T SASSON TRUST, ET AL	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
25	2184-059-027	ZOHRAB AND NARINE BEDIKIAN	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
26	2184-059-028	MARK H AND KAREN G GETELMAN TRUST, ET AL	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
27	2184-059-029	GARY STIFFELMAN CO TRUST, ET AL	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
28	2184-059-030	DAPHNE WAYANS TRUST, ET AL	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
29	2184-059-031	CHRISTOPHER CONNELLY AND ZURI GREEN	\$45.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
30	2184-059-034	MARK E AND ALANNA R GOLDMAN TRUST, ET AL	\$58.06		Not Cast	Not Cast	
31	2184-059-035	CAMILL B SAYADEH	\$49.76		Not Cast	Not Cast	
32	2184-059-001	CAMILL B SAYADEH	\$298.59		Not Cast	Not Cast	
33	2184-059-036	MUKISH AND RAJNI JAIN TRUST, ET AL	\$72.99		Not Cast	Not Cast	

33

TOTAL	\$1,806.44	\$	-	\$	91.52
TOTAL VOTES		2	0	2	0

**Proposition 218 Assessment Ballot Tabulation for
Tarzana Drive and Mecca Avenue Lighting District**

Final Public Hearing August 9, 2022

Election # 5341

Council File # 22-0900-S39

Voting/Ballot Summary			
Vote	Weighted Total	Assessment Percent of Votes Cast	Number of Ballots
Yes	\$0.00	0.00%	0
No	\$91.52	100.00%	2
No Vote			0
Challenged			0

Assessment District Summary			
	Total	Voted	Percent
Parcels	33	2	6%
Assessment	\$1,806.44	\$91.52	5%